Efficiency of X-ray in diagnosis of tuberculosis

Khalid Ahmed Hakamy, Ziyad Freih Alenazi, Samy Fhaid Alsamy, Hassan Hasser Hassany, Khaled Farhan Al-faifi, Aamin shaher Alshammari, Ali Saeed ALshehri, Fayez Ghazai Alharbi, Farhan Saeed Al Malki, Mohammed Ghazzai Aleluwi

Abstract:

Tuberculosis is a chronic pulmonary infectious illness that has impacted one-third of the people worldwide. It causes nine million new cases and two million fatalities per year. In clinical practice, rapid TB diagnosis can be difficult, and early pulmonary TB detection continues to be challenging for clinicians. In this article, current advances that allow better and earlier medical diagnosis of active pulmonary TB are summed up and diagnostic algorithms in clinical practice are suggested, concentrating on the x-ray in medical diagnosis of tuberculosis, advantages and disadvantages. Electronic search was performed using following databases; “MEDLINE”, “EMBASE”, “PUBMED”. The PubMed database was searched using the MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) term; “Tuberculosis”, “Diagnosis”, “X-ray”. Prompt diagnosis of active pulmonary TB is a priority for TB control, both for treating the individual and for public health treatment to decrease further spread in the area. Chest X-ray is useful yet is not specific for diagnosing pulmonary TB. Moreover, TB can present with symptoms and atypical radiologic results that are indistinguishable from those of community-acquired pneumonia. As an outcome, it is not uncommon for clinicians to prescribe a number of programs of antibiotics for pneumonia before the pulmonary TB is correctly identified.

Introduction:

Considering That the World Health Organization (WHO) presented the DOTS strategy in 1993 for the control of tuberculosis (TB), Chest X-ray (CXR) has been discouraged for the medical diagnosis of TB [1].As TB is mainly transmitted by sputum smear-positive patients, the DOTS method strongly promotes smear microscopy for the diagnosis of TB among symptomatic patients, the so-called TB suspects. Chest X-ray is restricted to identifying smear-negative TB amongst those suspects whose sputum examination is negative [2].Smear microscopy with Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) staining is mainly utilized. Because of its reduced specificity, if medical diagnosis amongst TB suspects would certainly be based upon CXR, this would bring about a considerable proportion (37%) of over-diagnosis [3].However also, when limiting CXR for the medical diagnosis of smear-negative TB amongst smear-negative suspects, the percentage of over-diagnosis stays high (23%) [4].

The efficiency of CXR revealed as sensitivity and uniqueness to pick-up culture-positive TB cases depends on the intensity and the presentation of the disease, which in turn is influenced by a range of other aspects. A significant aspect is the HIV condition of the patient. In mild immunocompromised TB patients, the appearance of the CXR is often classical with cavitations and upper lobe infiltrates, while in severe immunocompromised TB patients, the appearance is commonly atypical [5].Other elements influencing the presentation of the illness on the CXR movie are delay in diagnosis and the sex of the patient [6].Additionally, these variables are additionally interdependent of each other [6].

An additional vital element is the experience and the interpretation ability of the reader [3], making CXR based on intra- and inter-reader variation. Researches conducted in the 1950s showed that visitors have a propensity to under-read (21-39%) instead of to over-read (2-19%) [3], with much

less disparity when readers were a lot more experienced. A research study in Japan utilizing Miniature Mass Radiography found that around 20% of the instances with energetic TB were missed out on [3].The well- known IUATLD research on X-ray classification in which 1,100 films read by 90 seasoned physicians and radiologists from 9 nations, located up to 34% disagreement on the question: "is the movie typical?" and a 28% argument on the question: "is there a cavity existing?" [7].Finally, the efficiency of CXR also depends upon the quality of the film, which depends upon the performance of the CXR maker, the reagents and the establishing procedure. Along with that CXR is unable to identify 'smear-positive TB' from 'smear-negative TB', all prior elements contribute to certain degrees of over- and under-diagnosis.

In this article, current advances that allow better and earlier medical diagnosis of active pulmonary TB are summed up and diagnostic algorithms in clinical practice are suggested, concentrating on the x-ray in medical diagnosis of tuberculosis, advantages and disadvantages.

Methodology:

Electronic search was performed using following databases; “MEDLINE”, “EMBASE”, “PUBMED”. The PubMed database was searched using the MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) term; “Tuberculosis”, “Diagnosis”, “X-ray”. Results were not limited to studies on humans but also studies of rats were included, published in English. conference proceedings, editorials, commentaries and book chapters/book reviews were excluded.

Discussion:

- **Overview of the use of chest X-ray in WHO’s policies and guidelines**

Review of the use of chest X-ray in WHO's plans and standards For several years, WHO has advised CXR as an analysis tool to be utilized as a corresponding part of the clinical medical

diagnosis of bacteriologically negative TB. As such, CXR has formerly been positioned at the end of diagnostic algorithms. WHO's 2003 treatment guidelines for nationwide programs and the standard on identifying smear-negative pulmonary TB from 2007 recommended that CXR be used after: (i) first negative bacteriological testing, (ii) a course of broad-spectrum antibiotics and (iii) a second negative round of bacteriological testing [8], [9].However, CXR was suggested to be utilized directly after initial negative bacteriological testing to diagnose TB in individuals living with HIV or AIDS and in those taken into consideration to be at high risk of HIV infection [9].The 2008 manual for national consumption control programs [10], as well as the 3rd edition of the International criteria for tuberculosis care in 2014 [11], suggested a much more flexible technique, with the possibility of using CXR directly after a preliminary negative bacteriological examination, and not just for people living with HIV.

None of these guidelines positioned CXR as a triage test prior to bacteriological testing. Nonetheless, none of the standards specifically recommend versus using CXR for triaging or diagnostic evaluation of TB, and they emphasized that whenever CXR has been done and reveals abnormalities regular with TB, a bacteriological examination for TB have to constantly be carried out.

All the above-mentioned documents emphasized that using CXR to identify TB is problematic, considered that CXR has reduced uniqueness and significant interobserver variant. Additionally, poor access to high-quality radiography equipment and professional interpretation, along with the widespread use low-quality radiography, were identified as extra barriers for promoting large-scale programmatic use.

Recently, however, CXR has been advertised as a helpful device that can be positioned early in screening and triaging algorithms. An essential factor for reconsidering the role of CXR in screening and analysis formulas is that countless nationwide TB occurrence studies have shown that CXR is the most sensitive screening device for pulmonary TB and that a considerable proportion of individuals with TB are asymptomatic, a minimum of early during the condition [12] Various other variables that have contributed to CXR becoming an increasingly accepted part of programmatic strategies to TB care and avoidance include:

- the enhanced schedule of radiography, including digital radiography with its reduced running costs and extremely portable systems for area usage, much better image top quality and better security (due to reduced radiation dosage) compared to standard radiography, as well as opportunities for use for telemedicine;

- the documented rapidity of outcomes and high throughput capability, particularly of digital CXR;

- a gradual shift from purely prioritizing the medical diagnosis of one of the most contagious TB situations (that is, bacteriologically confirmed TB, particularly sputum smear-positive TB, in persons with persistent cough) to programmatic targets in accordance with a rights-based vision of global access to premium diagnosis for all individuals with all types of TB in addition to concern with diagnosis of other lung illness;

- the enhancing accessibility of quick molecular examinations with higher sensitivity and specificity compared to sputumsmear microscopy which enables higher diagnostic accuracy amongst individuals with CXR problems regular with TB (and, hence, lowers the risk of overdiagnosis). Moreover, offered molecular tests have considerably higher costs than sputum

smear microscopy, which commonly demands a technique of triaging of patients for evaluation for TB.

Table 1. Limitations of and advances in chest X-ray [12].

The main limitations associated with using chest X-ray include: • it produces two-dimensional representations of a three-dimensional structure; • there is intrareader and interreader variability; • no abnormalities are definitive of TB, therefore the specificity is low; • a universally accepted reporting system is lacking; • patients are exposed to ionizing radiation; • special equipment (with adequate input power) is needed; • trained personnel are required to operate the machine and interpret the results; • there is often limited access in rural areas; access is often limited to district or regional levels; • there is limited archiving of hard copies; • out-of-pocket costs for patients are often high.
Recent advances in digital chest X-ray technology include: • lower operating costs; • improved and more reproducible image quality with enlargement capability; • a decreased radiation dose; • improved portable systems that can be used for mobile units; • efforts to harmonize interpretation and reporting; • the potential of objective tools for interpretation of digital images, such as computer-aided detection; • better (digital) archiving facilities; • film processing equipment and hard copies no longer required; • the possibility of electronically transmitting images (for example, for telemedicine or quality assurance).

- **Radiologic study**

Anyone with a coughing that lasts for two weeks or more or with unexplained chronic high temperature and/or weight-loss ought to be reviewed for TB [13].Chest X-ray is the primary

radiologic assessment of presumed or proven pulmonary TB. Radiological discussion of TB may be variable however oftentimes is rather characteristic. Radiology also supplies important details for management and follow-up of these patients and is exceptionally valuable for monitoring difficulties. Chest X-ray is useful yet is not specific for detecting pulmonary TB, and can be typical even when the illness is present [16], [17].Consequently, it cannot give a definitive independent medical diagnosis and needs to be complied with by sputum testing. Many of the so-called uncommon indications of adult TB are actually normal manifestations of primary condition. Post-primary TB in adults typically materializes as a heterogeneous, commonly cavitary opacity in the apical and posterior segments of the upper lobes and the premium segments of the reduced lobes. Lymphadenopathy is unusual. Cavitation is the hallmark of post-primary TB and appears in concerning half of all patients. Patchy, improperly specified consolidation in the apical and posterior sections of the upper lobes and in the superior segment of the lower lobe is likewise commonly observed [16], [17].Nonetheless, post-primary illness activity cannot be properly analyzed by chest radiography. Radiographic security for 6 months and negative sputum cultures is the best indication of inactive disease [16]. The descriptive terms 'inactive' or 'old' TB ought to be discarded for radiographically steady TB, as feasible bacilli might linger in spite of adequate treatment. Post-primary TB heals with parenchymal scarring and nodules. An essential job for radiology is to figure out whether these recurring findings are indicative of active disease. For this, chest X-ray has restricted worth, because it could just establish that a lesion is stable, and stable lesions can contain energetic bacilli [16], [17].

Although chest X-ray is the primary analysis device for assessing pulmonary TB, chest computed tomography (CT) is usually needed to detect fine lesions that can be overlooked on chest X-ray, to specify ambiguous lesions, or to assess difficulties [14].Chest CT is an efficient diagnostic

technique when simple films are regular or inconclusive, and it gives valuable information for managing the illness. Chest CT can add useful info for detecting microbial activity. Branching opacities, cavitation, or consolidation are clear signs of active TB, yet energetic disease needs to be confirmed by evaluating sputum for the presence of bacilli. A significant radiologic searching for in chest CT is the "tree-in-bud" pattern, containing numerous branching linear structures that represent bronchogenic circulation of condition with caseating necrosis in the respiratory system and incurable bronchioles [14].These branching opacities have a lobar or segmental circulation and are taken into consideration reliable markers of task. Tree-in-bud opacities are additionally seen in various other infections, but when pictured in mix with cavitation or nodular opacities in the upper and posterior lung segments, and in the ideal medical setting, a particular diagnosis of pulmonary TB can be developed [15].While chest CT is useful for clarifying complicated findings, it has not been conclusively shown to have a substantial impact on patient management [18], therefore microbiological recognition of TB by culture should follow this examination [13].

- **Chest X-ray**

The chest x-ray has been a part of TB diagnosis for over a century. In immunocompetent individuals, it is uncommon to have a typical chest x-ray with active pulmonary TB. In a review of all the instances of culture confirmed pulmonary tuberculosis in Saskatchewan from 1988 to 1997, 4.8% had a regular breast x-ray as evaluated by both a TB specialist and a board-certified radiologist [19].This sensitivity is offset by bad uniqueness. Some patterns of chest x-ray abnormality are taken into consideration extra "normal" of TB condition such as top wattle infiltrates or cavitary lesions [20]. Nonetheless, also when limited to these normal breast x-ray infiltrates, specificity remains reduced. One study of TB presumes admitted to a huge urban hospital in the US discovered a specificity of just 63% for typical chest x-ray changes. By limiting

suspects to those with typical infiltrates, the level of sensitivity dropped to 73% [20].In a research study from the UK, Amsterdam and Rotterdam checking out newer digital chest x-ray technology, the level of sensitivity of radiologists and chest physicians for detecting culture positive TB on upper body x-ray was a comparable 77% [21].HIV coinfected TB patients are much less most likely to have regular upper body x-ray infiltrates, especially with declining CD4 cell matters [22].In a neighborhood study in high TB and HIV common South Africa, the specificity for any unusual chest x-ray was 67%, when restricted to common adjustments it was 83% [23].In addition, older research studies reported that atypical chest x-ray patterns suggested a medical diagnosis of primary TB instead of awakening of hidden TB. This was based on using current tuberculin skin test (TST) conversion as evidence of a primary TB infection [24].Nevertheless newer molecular public health studies that rely on clusters of TB to identify primary infections have not supported this organization [25].The subjective nature of upper body x-ray interpretation also offers a difficulty. Also amongst experienced radiologists and chest physicians there are high levels of inter-observer variability [26].Therefore, while certain chest x-ray searchings for can be indicative of tuberculosis, it stays an insensitive and nonspecific examination.

Table 2. Sensitivity & Specificity of Diagnostic Tools

Diagnostic Tool	**Sensitivity**	**Specificity**	**Pros**	**Cons**
Symptom Screening	Variable, up to 93%	Variable	Cheap, easy to implement	Large tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity, performance depends on setting
Chest X-ray	73–95%	63%	Already implemented in many centers, cheap to perform	Requires expertise to interpret, high inter-observer variability
Sputum Microscopy	60%	95%	Cheap	Time consuming, low sensitivity in HIV coinfection
Solid Media Culture	Reference standard	Reference standard	Cheap	Requires significant lab infrastructure, requires up to 8 weeks
IGRA	69–83%	52–61%	Does not require sputum	Poor performance for active disease

Fluorescence Microscopy	70%	95%	Higher sensitivity and faster than conventional microscopy	Requires specialized equipment
Liquid Media Culture	10% more than solid media	Reference standard	Faster than solid media but still requires weeks	Specialized equipment and highly trained technicians
PCR	10–100%	5–100%	Rapid turn-around, most are highly specific	Expensive, highly variable performance, need highly trained personnel
Xpert MTB/RIF	89%	99%	Rapid turn-around, good performance in HIV positive, moderately priced, rifampin resistance testing included	Unclear impact on mortality
LPA	66–95%	99%	Can be performed directly on patient samples	Expensive

Conclusion:

Tuberculosis is a chronic pulmonary infectious illness that has impacted one-third of the people worldwide. It causes nine million new cases and two million fatalities per year. In clinical practice, rapid TB diagnosis can be difficult, and early pulmonary TB detection continues to be challenging for clinicians. Prompt diagnosis of active pulmonary TB is a priority for TB control, both for treating the individual and for public health treatment to decrease further spread in the area. Chest X-ray is useful yet is not specific for diagnosing pulmonary TB. Moreover, TB can present with symptoms and atypical radiologic results that are indistinguishable from those of community-acquired pneumonia.As an outcome, it is not uncommon for clinicians to prescribe a number of programs of antibiotics for pneumonia before the pulmonary TB is correctly identified.

Reference:

1. WHO . Framework for effective tuberculosis control. WHO/TB/94.179, Geneva, WHO; 1994.

2. Trebucq A. Revisiting sputum smear microscopy. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004;8:805.
3. Toman K. Tuberculosis case-finding and chemotherapy. Questions and Answers. First Edition WHO 1979: 28–38 and 44–50 and Second Edition WHO. 2004. pp. 51–65.
4. Van Cleeff MR, Kivihya-Ndugga L, Githui W, Ng'ang'a LW, Odhiambo JA, Klatser PR. A comprehensive study on the efficiency of the routine pulmonary tuberculosis diagnostic process in Nairobi. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2003;7:186–190.
5. Harries AD, Maher D. TB/HIV. A clinical manual. WHO/TB/96. 2000. pp. 42–44.
6. Kivihya-Ndugga L, Van Cleeff MR, Ng'ang'a LW, Meme H, Odhiambo JA, Klatser PR. Sex specific performance of the routine TB diagnostic tests. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2004;9:294–300.
7. Springett VH. Results of the international study on x-ray classification. Conclusions. Bull Int Union Tuberc. 1968;41:125–129.
8. World Health Organization. Treatment of tuberculosis: guidelines for national programmes, third edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003 (WHO/CDS/TB/2003.313; http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/67890/1/WHO_CDS_ TB_2003.313_eng.pdf, accessed 5 October 2016).
9. World Health Organization. Improving the diagnosis and treatment of smear-negative pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis among adults and adolescents: recommendations for HIV-prevalent and resourceconstrained settings. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007 (WHO/HTM/TB/2007.379; http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/69463/1/WHO_HTM_TB_2007.379_eng.pdf, accessed 5 October 2016).
10. World Health Organization: Implementing the WHO Stop TB Strategy: a handbook for national tuberculosis control programmes. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008 (WHO/HTM/TB/2008.401; http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/43792/1/9789241546676_eng.pdf, accessed 5 October 2016).
11. TB Care I. International standards for tuberculosis care, third edition. The Hague: TB CARE I; 2014 (http://www.who.int/tb/ publications/ISTC_3rdEd.pdf, accessed 5 October 2016).
12. World Health Organization. Tuberculosis prevalence surveys: a handbook. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011 (WHO/HTM/TB/2010.17; http://www.who.int/tb/ advisory_bodies/impact_measurement_taskforce/resources_ documents/thelimebook/en/, accessed 5 October 2016).
13. Joint Committee for the Revision of Korean Guidelines for Tuberculosis, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Korean guidelines for tuberculosis. 2nd ed. Seoul and Cheongwon: Joint Committee for the Revision of Korean Guidelines for Tuberculosis, Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2014.
14. Lee KS, Song KS, Lim TH, Kim PN, Kim IY, Lee BH. Adult-onset pulmonary tuberculosis: findings on chest radiographs and CT scans. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1993;160:753–758.
15. Geng E, Kreiswirth B, Burzynski J, Schluger NW. Clinical and radiographic correlates of primary and reactivation tuberculosis: a molecular epidemiology study. JAMA. 2005;293:2740–2745.

16. Im JG, Itoh H, Shim YS, Lee JH, Ahn J, Han MC, et al. Pulmonary tuberculosis: CT findings: early active disease and sequential change with antituberculous therapy. Radiology. 1993;186:653–660.
17. Woodring JH, Vandiviere HM, Fried AM, Dillon ML, Williams TD, Melvin IG. Update: the radiographic features of pulmonary tuberculosis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1986;146:497–506.
18. Krysl J, Korzeniewska-Kosela M, Muller NL, FitzGerald JM. Radiologic features of pulmonary tuberculosis: an assessment of 188 cases. Can Assoc Radiol J. 1994;45:101–107.
19. Marciniuk DD, McNab BD, Martin WT, Hoeppner VH. Detection of pulmonary tuberculosis in patients with a normal chest radiograph*. Chest. 1999;115(2):445–52.
20. Cohen R, Muzaffar S, Capellan J, Azar H, Chinikamwala M. The validity of classic symptoms and chest radiographic configuration in predicting pulmonary tuberculosis. Chest. 1996;109(2):420–3.
21. Abubakar I, Story A, Lipman M, Bothamley G, Hest R, van Andrews N, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of digital chest radiography for pulmonary tuberculosis in a UK urban population. European Respiratory Journal. 2010;35(3):689–92.
22. Kisembo HN, Boon SD, Davis JL, Okello R, Worodria W, Cattamanchi A, et al. Chest radiographic findings of pulmonary tuberculosis in severely immunocompromised patients with the human immunodeficiency virus. BJR. 2012;85(1014):e130–9.
23. den Boon S, White NW, van Lill SWP, Borgdorff MW, Verver S, Lombard CJ, et al. An evaluation of symptom and chest radiographic screening in tuberculosis prevalence surveys. The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease. 2006;10(8):876–82.
24. Woodring J, Vandiviere H, Fried A, Dillon M, Williams T, Melvin I. Update: the radiographic features of pulmonary tuberculosis. American Journal of Roentgenology. 1986;146(3):497–506.
25. Geng E, Kreiswirth B, Burzynski J, Schluger NW. Clinical and radiographic correlates of primary and reactivation tuberculosis: A molecular epidemiology study. JAMA. 2005;293(22):2740–5.
26. Toman K, Frieden T, Toman K World Health Organization. Toman's tuberculosis: case detection, treatment, and monitoring: questions and answers. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.